Planning Committee 08 September 2021 Item 3 b

Application Number: 21/10940 Full Planning Permission

Site: 11 BROADSHARD LANE, RINGWOOD BH24 1RW

Development: Proposed single and two-storey extensions at rear, flat roof to

gable end; front porch

Applicant: Mr & Mrs Darnell

Agent: Bryan Tomlinson & Associates

Target Date: 26/08/2021
Case Officer: Jacky Dawe

1 SUMMARY OF THE MAIN ISSUES

The key issues are:

1) Impact upon the character and appearance of the area and street scene

This application is to be considered by Committee because of contrary view with Ringwood Town Council.

2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The application site falls within the Built up Area and Ringwood Local Distinctiveness Area. The property is a large detached house in a prominent corner position opposite a junior school, a new fence has been constructed to the side boundary with laurel whips planted on the inside.

3 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Permission is sought for a single-storey rear extension, two-storey rear extension, pitch roof to existing flat roof element at the front.

4 PLANNING HISTORY

Proposal 20/10865 Demolish garage and erect new double garage	Decision Date 20/11/2020	Decision Description Granted Subject to Conditions	Status Decided
XX/RFR/16394 Extension to form flat.	20/07/1973	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided
XX/RFR/14787 Extension.	24/04/1972	Granted	Decided
XX/RFR/11834 Addition.	03/08/1967	Granted Subject to Conditions	Decided

5 PLANNING POLICY AND GUIDANCE

Local Plan 2016-2036 Part 1: Planning Strategy

Policy ENV3: Design quality and local distinctiveness

Supplementary Planning Guidance And Documents

SPD - Ringwood Local Distinctiveness

Chap 12: Achieving well designed places

Constraints

SSSI IRZ Waste

SSSI IRZ Water Supply

SSSI IRZ Residential

SSSI IRZ Rural Non Residential

SSSI IRZ Rural Residential

SSSI IRZ Wind and Solar Energy

SSSI IRZ Minerals Oil and Gas

Avon Catchment Area

Aerodrome Safeguarding Zone

Plan Area

SSSI IRZ Air Pollution

SSSI IRZ Discharges

SSSI IRZ Infrastructure

SSSI IRZ Compost

SSSI IRZ All Consultations

SSSI IRZ Combustion

Plan Policy Designations

Built-up Area

6 PARISH / TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS

Ringwood Town Council

R(4) Recommend refusal. The Committee agreed the proposals were overdevelopment and contrary to Local Distinctiveness Supplementary Planning document given the prominent location on Broadshard Lane. The design of the front porch and rear extension, with particular regard to the flat roof, are out of keeping for the character of the local area. It is understood that works may have already commenced.

7 COUNCILLOR COMMENTS

No comments received

8 CONSULTEE COMMENTS

Comments have been received from the following consultees:

New Forest Ecologist: comment - add condition

9 REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

The following is a summary of the representations received.

For: 0 Against: 2

- negative impact upon character and local distinctiveness
- front entrance out of keeping
- flat roof poorly designed
- poor design in prominent location which does not relate to the existing

- single-storey element has large area of flat roof, incongruous
- glazed porch contrasting in style
- contrary to policy
- part retrospective

10 PLANNING ASSESSMENT

Principle of Development

The principle of the development is acceptable subject to relevant material considerations relating to residential amenity and character and appearance of the area.

Design, site layout and impact on local character and appearance of area

The property is a large detached property which sits well within its plot, a previous application 20/10865 was granted last November for a detached garage which is now nearing completion. Plans were originally submitted under 20/10865 to include a boundary wall, pitch roof to existing flat roof on front protrusion and two-storey rear extension. These elements were removed from the application.

A laurel hedge and a post and rail fence has now been constructed under permitted development, which is a softer and a more fitting addition to this prominent and verdant corner. The flat roof alteration and the two-storey element were removed due to a bat roost being found and time to produce a bat survey was required.

A bat survey has now been supplied and the two-storey element has been re-introduced, albeit with a flat roof, this has been designed in order to cause least disruption to the bat roost. The two-storey element is subservient to the main dwelling and a proportionate addition, construction of this element has been started at ground floor level. The Ecologist has requested a Condtion be added which requires a license from Natural England before any further works are undertaken.

To the front the pitch roof has been designed to match the pitch of the existing roof, this will improve the aesthetics of the front elevation. Amended plans have been submitted which remove the front glazed addition, in line with concerns raised.

This application also has a large single-storey rear extension which has a flat roof, this is a typical addition for an urban area and is situated towards to the rear of the property, the side and front boundaries are quite established, coupled with the orientation of the property, means the proposal would not be visible from the public realm.

The proposals have been designed as sympathetic and proportionate additions to the existing dwelling and would not detract from the character of the area or appear overly prominent within the street scene.

Residential amenity

The plot is large and the proposals are set off all boundaries, the new first floor windows face the rear and one side facing window faces the road.

The proposal has been carefully assessed on site. Due to the spatial characteristics of the application site and the adjacent properties, the design of the proposed development, its location and positioning in relation to the common boundaries and the neighbouring properties, the proposal would not cause unacceptable effects on the privacy, light and outlook available to the adjacent neighbours.

Ecology

A bat survey was requested due to evidence of bats, The Ecologist was consulted and requested works are carried out in conjunction with the Bat report and a license obtained from Natural England.

Biodiversity and Ecology

Householder developments are not exempt from the requirement to deliver biodiversity net gain as part of development. However, in proportion to the scale of the development, they can deliver features that will be valuable to wildlife and enhance local biodiversity. Additional planting of native species of shrubs and trees and the addition of bird boxes should be considered as a proportionate measure to address biodiversity net gain.

11 CONCLUSION

The application has been considered against all relevant material considerations including the development plan, relevant legislation, policy guidance, government advice, and the views of interested consultees and third parties. The application is considered to raise no significant issues and is recommended for approval.

12 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

None

13 RECOMMENDATION

Grant Subject to Conditions

Proposed Conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning

Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and

Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. The development permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

BAT REPORT 1036-10 REV A = PROPOSED PLANS 1036-1B = SITE LOCATION PLAN, BLOCK PLAN AND EXISTING PLANS

Reason: To ensure satisfactory provision of the development.

- 3. Any works that impact on the bat roost(s) (day roost for common pipistrelle and soprano pipistrelle) identified in the Cherry Tree Ecology Ltd Bat Survey Report, dated 18th June 2021 shall not in any circumstances commence unless the Local Planning Authority has been provided with either:
 - a) a licence issued by Natural England pursuant to Regulation 55 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 authorizing the specified activity/development to go ahead;
 - a statement in writing from the relevant licensing body to the effect that it does not consider that the specified activity/development will require a licence"; or
 - c) Natural England's decision on whether to accept the registration of the site under a Registered Consultants Bat Mitigation Class Licence (BMCL)."

Reason:

To safeguard ecological interest in accordance with the Policies ENV3 and ENV4 of the Local Plan Review 2016-2036 Part One: Planning Strategy for the New Forest District outside the National Park and Policies DM1 and DM2 of the Local Plan for the New Forest District outside the National Park (Part 2: Sites and Development Management).

Further Information:

Jacky Dawe

Telephone: 023 8028 5447

